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which is exactly gradient descent. Open In Colab \&
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Figure 1: Gradient flow trajectory

## Necessary local minimum condition

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f^{\prime}(x)=0 \\
& -\eta f^{\prime}(x)=0 \\
& x-\eta f^{\prime}(x)=x \\
& x_{k}-\eta f^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)=x_{k+1}
\end{aligned}
$$
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nabla \phi_{2}(x)=0 \\
& \nabla f\left(x_{0}\right)+L\left(x^{*}-x_{0}\right)=0 \\
& x^{*}=x_{0}-\frac{1}{L} \nabla f\left(x_{0}\right) \\
& x_{k+1}=x_{k}-\frac{1}{L} \nabla f\left(x_{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This way leads to the $\frac{1}{L}$ stepsize choosing. However, often the $L$ constant is not known.

## Convergence of Gradient Descent algorithm

Heavily depends on the choice of the learning rate $\alpha$ :

Loss value 0.87



## Exact line search aka steepest descent

$$
\alpha_{k}=\arg \min _{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{+}} f\left(x_{k+1}\right)=\arg \min _{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{+}} f\left(x_{k}-\alpha \nabla f\left(x_{k}\right)\right)
$$

More theoretical than practical approach. It also allows you to analyze the convergence, but often exact line search can be difficult if the function calculation takes too long or costs a lot. Interesting theoretical property of this method is that each following iteration is orthogonal to the previous one:

$$
\alpha_{k}=\arg \min _{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{+}} f\left(x_{k}-\alpha \nabla f\left(x_{k}\right)\right)
$$

Optimality conditions:

$$
\nabla f\left(x_{k+1}\right)^{\top} \nabla f\left(x_{k}\right)=0
$$



Figure 3: Steepest Descent
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## Convergence rates

$$
\min _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} f(x) \quad x_{k+1}=x_{k}-\alpha_{k} \nabla f\left(x_{k}\right)
$$

## smooth

convex
smooth \& convex
smooth \& strongly convex (or PL)
$\left\|\nabla f\left(x_{k}\right)\right\|^{2} \approx \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) \quad f\left(x_{k}\right)-f^{*} \approx \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\right) \quad f\left(x_{k}\right)-f^{*} \approx \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) \quad\left\|x_{k}-x^{*}\right\|^{2} \approx \mathcal{O}\left(\left(1-\frac{\mu}{L}\right)^{k}\right)$

## Gradient Descent convergence. Smooth convex case

## Gradient Descent convergence. Smooth $\mu$-strongly convex case

## Gradient Descent convergence. Polyak-Lojasiewicz case

